EXHIBIT B

Dockets.Justia.com

Evan Parke

From: Cooper, Monte [mcooper@orrick.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2008 11:35 PM

To: Evan Parke

Cc: Greer, Yvonne; Chatterjee, I. Neel; Mudurian, Karen; Dalton, Amy; Michael Underhill; David Barrett; Jonathan Shaw

Subject: Re: Facebook/ConnectU - motion to shorten time

Evan:

The filing of the Notice of Appeal reflects that ConnectU has known what time deadlines govern its post-judgment actions since the Court entered judgment a month ago. Accordingly, it also reflects that ConnectU could have moved for a stay for a month of one was warranted, but deliberately acted without haste. Therefore, nothing warrants expedited relief now, given that ConnectU itself has by its own inaction proven it does not perceive a need for extraordinary relief.

Monte

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Parke <eparke@BSFLLP.com>
To: Cooper, Monte
Cc: Greer, Yvonne; Chatterjee, I. Neel; Mudurian, Karen; Dalton, Amy; Michael Underhill <munderhill@BSFLLP.com>; David Barrett <dbarrett@BSFLLP.com>; Jonathan Shaw <jshaw@BSFLLP.com>
Sent: Wed Jul 30 20:18:15 2008
Subject: RE: Facebook/ConnectU - motion to shorten time

Dear Monte,

Please clarify. Is it your position that filing a notice of appeal removes a need (that would otherwise exist) to expedite briefing under the circumstances? In the interim, I understand that Plaintiffs will not be agreeing to the proposed stipulation.

Very truly yours,

Evan Andrew Parke
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
5301 Wisconsin Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20015

202-237-2727 (main)

202-274-1151 (direct)

202-316-7324 (mobile)

202-237-6131 (fax)
eparke@bsfllp.com

From: Cooper, Monte [mailto:mcooper@orrick.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2008 9:17 PM
To: Evan Parke
Cc: Greer, Yvonne; Chatterjee, I. Neel; Mudurian, Karen; Dalton, Amy; Michael Underhill; David Barrett; Jonathan Shaw
Subject: Re: Facebook/ConnectU - motion to shorten time

Evan:

I fail to understand why there is a need to expedite the briefing on the Motion to Stay if you're filing a notice of appeal tomorrow. Can you please explain this issue? Otherwise, I do not see Plaintiffs stipulating to this proposed schedule.

Thank you,

Monte

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Parke <eparke@BSFLLP.com>
To: Cooper, Monte
Cc: Greer, Yvonne; Chatterjee, I. Neel; Mudurian, Karen; Dalton, Amy; Michael Underhill <munderhill@BSFLLP.com>; David Barrett <dbarrett@BSFLLP.com>; Jonathan Shaw <jshaw@BSFLLP.com>
Sent: Wed Jul 30 17:11:43 2008
Subject: RE: Facebook/ConnectU - motion to shorten time

Dear Monte,

Let me clarify and simplify the proposal: ConnectU proposes that the hearing date on the motion to stay be set for August 14, with Plaintiffs' opposition due on Friday, August 8, and ConnectU's reply due on Monday, August 11. Please let me know by the end of the evening whether Plaintiffs will join a stipulation to this effect.

Very truly yours,

Evan Andrew Parke
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
5301 Wisconsin Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20015

202-237-2727 (main)

202-274-1151 (direct)

202-316-7324 (mobile)

202-237-6131 (fax)
eparke@bsfllp.com

From: Cooper, Monte [mailto:mcooper@orrick.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2008 7:30 PM
To: Evan Parke
Cc: Greer, Yvonne; Chatterjee, I. Neel; Mudurian, Karen; Dalton, Amy; Michael Underhill; David Barrett; Jonathan Shaw
Subject: Re: Facebook/ConnectU - motion to shorten time

Evan:

I receved your voice message and this email. I'm out of the office at the moment but am unclear based on the two messages exactly what date you are proposing the Plaintiffs' response is due if the hearing is to be heard on August 10 or 11 as stated below. Further, we would want to know if you are proposing that Defendants also be permitted a Reply Brief, and when that would be due.

The Court in todayks Order denying the Motion to Shorten Time by the proposed intervenors specifically indicated that it was troubled by the "11th Hour" motion, when the parties have been aware of the Court's Judgment for a month now. Therefore, in order for Plaintiffs to consider your proposal, we need Mike first to respond to Neel's email from earlier, as he promised, and also for ConnectU to explain why it has delayed until now to seek "emergency" relief.

Thanks,

Monte

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Parke <eparke@BSFLLP.com>
To: Cooper, Monte
Cc: Greer, Yvonne; Chatterjee, I. Neel; Mudurian, Karen; Dalton, Amy; Michael Underhill <munderhill@BSFLLP.com>; David Barrett <dbarrett@BSFLLP.com>; Jonathan Shaw <jshaw@BSFLLP.com>
Sent: Wed Jul 30 15:30:58 2008
Subject: Facebook/ConnectU - motion to shorten time

Dear Monte,

This email follows my recent voicemail asking whether Plaintiffs would join a stipulated request to the Court to shorten time in regards to ConnectU's motion to stay. ConnectU proposes that the hearing date and time to respond be shortened to either August 13 (10 days out from today) or August 14, as stated in my voicemail. In your earlier email to Mr. O'Shea (on which I was cc'd) you stated, among other things, that Plaintiffs would not agree to any stipulation to shorten time with respect to the motion to intervene; if Plaintiffs position on the motion to stay differs in this regard, please let me know by 8pm EDT/5pm

PDT.

Very truly yours,

Evan Andrew Parke
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
5301 Wisconsin Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20015

202-237-2727 (main)

202-274-1151 (direct)

202-316-7324 (mobile)

202-237-6131 (fax)
eparke@bsfllp.com

****
IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, unless we expressly state otherwise, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.
****
The information contained in this electronic message is confidential information intended only for the use of the named recipient(s) and may contain information that, among other protections, is the subject of attorney-client privilege, attorney work product or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this electronic message is not the named recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the named recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this communication is strictly prohibited and no privilege is waived. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this electronic message and then deleting this electronic message from your computer. [v.1]

"EMF <orrick.com>" made the following annotations.

==

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

==

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit
http://www.orrick.com/

==

==

"EMF <orrick.com>" made the following annotations.

==

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

==

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit
http://www.orrick.com/

==

==

"EMF <orrick.com>" made the following annotations.

==

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

==

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit http://www.orrick.com/
==
==